

DEC 07 1999

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

3

4

5

6

MR. SALISBURY: My name is Ray Salisbury, and

my first comment is I would like to remind you people in

the Department of Energy that Nevada is not wasteland.

I didn't have time to read through the EIS

very well, all 60 million pages of it. But there are a

few things that I have seen that I think needs to be

addressed.

One of them is U.S. Navy has an EIS

concerning welfare of sites in Smoky Valley where the

proposed train route is. I want to know if the Navy or

the DOE has interacted and taken this into consideration

and worked together.

And did the DOE interact and work with the

BLM and U.S. Forest Service on lands in Lander County that

they would go through.

Secondly, thirdly, Lander County has a public

federally administrated land plan manual that is just

coming into effect. I know you haven't taken that into

consideration, but it will be available.

One of the other questions Ray Williams was

going to ask is about the shared use of the railroad. I

can't say too much about that. I don't really want the

railroad, so I don't really want the answer.

I feel that the science that is involved in

this is not adequate to cover things that happen

throughout the last hundreds of years and hundreds ahead

of us. I don't think there is enough science to really

4 | 7 address that.

8 | Also I feel the states that generate the

9 | nuclear waste should take care of their own nuclear waste.

10 | As you know, I don't think that Nevada generates any

11 | nuclear waste with the exception of maybe a little bit of

12 | hospital stuff, something like that. But no large amount.

13 | I just think that the states that generate it should take

14 | care of it.

15 | My last comment is just remember, Nevada is

16 | not a wasteland.